

Key: Significance of Effects				SA1 Identified Sites	
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects			
↑↑	Major beneficial	→→	Major beneficial		
↑	Minor beneficial	→	Minor beneficial		
↓	Minor adverse	←	Minor adverse		
↓↓	Major adverse	←←	Major adverse		
↕	Potentially beneficial or adverse				
↔	No significant effect				

Sustainability Objectives	Identified Sites		Comments		
	Preferred Option	Alternative Option			
	Include an identified sites policy	Do not include an identified sites policy			
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. In that it would ensure that the sites that have been allocated for housing will be safeguarded to ensure a future housing land supply to deliver the housing need identified in the plan.	↓	This option could potentially have a potentially adverse impact on this objective. Loss of allocated housing sites to alternative uses could undermine the level of housing delivery set out in the plan. Thus reducing the supply of housing to meet identified need.	The preferred option ensures an adequate supply of housing sites are maintained through out the plan period. Without this measure there is a potential that house delivery could be compromised. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	→	This option could be potentially beneficial in terms of ensuring that land supply is both maintained and plan led thereby avoiding a developer-led scenario in which development pressure is directed to high-value market areas of greater biodiversity sensitivity. The policy signposts out to the site specific policy that addresses biodiversity site features and potential enhancement.	←	This option could have a potentially adverse effect in the achievement of this objective. In the event that allocated sites are developed for alternative uses there is a risk that housing supply is compromised and may become developer-led which could result in development pressure being directed to high-value market areas of greater biodiversity sensitivity.	In safeguarding allocated sites the policy ensures a sufficient land supply in appropriate locations therefore the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of thereby minimising the risk of land supply being developer-led and directed to high-value market areas of greater biodiversity sensitivity. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	→	This option could be potentially beneficial in terms of ensuring that land supply is both maintained and plan led thereby avoiding a developer-led scenario in which development pressure is directed to high-value market areas of greater landscape sensitivity. The policy signposts out to the site specific policy that addresses landscape features and potential mitigation	←	This option could have a potentially adverse effect in the achievement of this objective. In the event that allocated sites are lost to alternative uses there is a risk that housing supply may become developer-led which could result in development pressure being directed to high-value market areas of greater landscape sensitivity.	In safeguarding allocated sites the policy ensures a sufficient land supply in appropriate locations therefore the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of thereby minimising the risk of land supply being developer-led and directed to high-value market areas of greater landscape sensitivity. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. In that it would ensure that the sites that have been allocated and safeguarded for employment use will be retained. This will ensure a future employment land supply to deliver new businesses and support extension plans can be delivered.	↓	This option would have a potential adverse impact on this objective. Loss of employment sites could undermine local employment opportunities and job retention.	The preferred option ensures that allocated and key existing employment sites and premises are retained to support existing and new businesses. This will contribute positively to the creation and retention of jobs. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. In that it would ensure that the sites that have been allocated and safeguarded for employment use will be retained. This will ensure a future employment land supply to deliver new businesses and support extension plans can be delivered.	↓	This option would have a potential adverse impact on this objective. Loss of employment sites could undermine local employment opportunities and job retention.	The preferred option ensures that allocated and key existing employment sites and premises are retained to support existing and new businesses. This will contribute positively to the creation and retention of jobs. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
	major beneficial		major beneficial
	minor beneficial		minor beneficial
	minor adverse		minor adverse
	major adverse		major adverse
	Potentially beneficial or adverse		
	No significant effect		

Settlement Boundaries SA2

Sustainability Objectives	Settlement Boundaries				Comments
	Preferred Option		Alternative Option		
	Include a settlement boundary policy		Do not include a settlement boundary policy		
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces		This option has the potential to support this objective as it help ensure development is located within a settlement close to existing services and manage development in the open countryside.		With out clear policy guidance there is potential for development to located out settlements which could be more inaccessible to services and impact on countryside.	Overall the preferred options has minor beneficial effect on this objective as it helps guide development to within settlements and help protect open countryside from development. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home		This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would supports housing development boundaries which have been revised to allow appropriate levels of development.		This option could also support this objective. The removal of settlement boundaries could result in greater freedom and flexibility for land to come forward for housing	Both options have the potential to have positive effect on this objective.
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity		This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would help contain and manage development with defined settlement boundaries and avoid piecemeal development in the countryside and greater impact on biodiversity.		With out clear policy guidance there is potential that development is less easy to manage which could result in development in areas which could impact on biodiversity.	Overall the preferred options has minor beneficial effect on this objective as it helps guide development to within settlements and help protect biodiversity assets from development. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations		This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would help contain and manage development with defined settlement boundaries and avoid piecemeal development in the countryside and greater impact on landscape quality		With out clear policy guidance there is potential that development is less easy to manage which could result in development in areas which could impact on landscape quality	Overall the preferred options has minor beneficial effect on this objective as it helps guide development to within settlements and help protect landscape quality from development. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities		This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would supports business and employment development boundaries which have been revised to allow appropriate levels of development.		This option could also support this objective. The removal of settlement boundaries could result in greater freedom and flexibility for land to come forward for employment	Both options have the potential to have positive effect on this objective.
EC2: To improve access to jobs		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy		This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would supports business and employment development boundaries which have been revised to allow appropriate levels of development.		This option could also support this objective. The removal of settlement boundaries could result in greater freedom and flexibility for land to come forward for employment	Both options have the potential to have positive effect on this objective.

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
	major beneficial		major beneficial
	minor beneficial		minor beneficial
	minor adverse		minor adverse
	major adverse		major adverse
	Often beneficial or adverse		
	significant effect		

Sustainability Objectives	SA3 Affordable Housing				Comments
	Preferred Option	Alternative Option	Affordable Housing		
	Policy that adopts the national threshold for the provision of affordable housing and tenure split based on the Housing Study 2016 and national policy	Policy that adopts the national threshold for the provision of affordable housing and revised tenure split based on the Councils 2016 Housing Needs Survey	AO1 that maintains the existing threshold outside the Principal and Key Services Centres set out in LP Part 1 and adopts a revised tenure split based on the Councils 2016 Housing Needs Survey	AO2 that maintain the current lower threshold outside the Principal and Key Services Centres and tenure split for affordable housing set out in LP Part 1	
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	This option would support the achievement of this objective. Although adopting the national threshold could potentially result in a lower number of affordable units being delivered in rural areas, the general economic viability of sites within the Plan Area would be improved which would have favourable implications for the levels of overall housing supply in the Plan Area. Adopting the revised tenure split would have a positive effect by reflecting the demand identified in the Survey.	This option would support the achievement of this objective. Although adopting the national threshold could potentially result in a lower number of affordable units being delivered in rural areas, the general economic viability of sites within the Plan Area would be improved which would have favourable implications for the levels of overall housing supply in the Plan Area. Adopting the revised tenure split would have a positive effect by reflecting the demand identified in the Survey.	This option may prejudice the achievement of this objective. Maintaining the current lower threshold would ensure that affordable housing was delivered on smaller rural sites. However the lower thresholds would impact on the economic viability of smaller sites which could prejudice their delivery, which would have adverse implications for the levels of overall housing supply in the Plan Area. Adopting the revised tenure split would have a positive effect by reflecting the demand identified in the Council's 2016 Housing Needs Survey.	This option is likely to prejudice the achievement of this objective. Maintaining the current lower threshold would ensure that affordable housing was delivered on smaller rural sites. However the lower thresholds would impact on the economic viability of smaller sites which could prejudice their delivery, which would have adverse implications for the levels of overall housing supply in the Plan Area. Maintaining the current tenure split would have a negative effect as it would not reflect the demand identified in the Council's 2016 Housing Needs Survey.	In reducing the threshold and adopting a revised tenure split the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of boosting overall housing supply and ensuring that evidenced affordable housing needs are met. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	This option directly supports the achievement of this objective. The provision of affordable housing within new private development will support the creation of inclusive and socio-	This option directly supports the achievement of this objective. The provision of affordable housing within new private development will support the creation of inclusive and socio-economically mixed communities.	This option directly supports the achievement of this objective. The provision of affordable housing within new private development will support the creation of inclusive and socio-economically mixed communities.	This option directly supports the achievement of this objective. The provision of affordable housing within new private development will support the creation of inclusive and socio-economically mixed communities.	All three options would have beneficial effects in terms of ensuring that affordable housing was delivered as part of private developments. <b>All the options perform equally in relation to this SA objective</b>
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. Access to affordable housing ensures the functionality and sustainability of local labour markets.	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. Access to affordable housing ensures the functionality and sustainability of local labour markets.	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. Access to affordable housing ensures the functionality and sustainability of local labour markets.	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. Access to affordable housing ensures the functionality and sustainability of local labour markets.	All three options would have beneficial effects in terms of maintaining the functionality of local labour markets by ensuring that affordable housing was delivered as part of private developments. <b>All the options perform equally in relation to this SA objective</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
↑↑	major beneficial	→→	major beneficial
↑	minor beneficial	→	minor beneficial
↓	minor adverse	←	minor adverse
↓↓	major adverse	←←	major adverse
↕	Potentially beneficial or adverse		
↔	No significant effect		

Sustainability Objectives	Self Build		Comments
	Preferred Option	Alternative Option	
	Include a self build policy	Do not include a self build policy	
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. Custom or self build is alternative housing offer to that provided by volume builders and provides an opportunity for individuals or groups of individuals to design and build their own homes.	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. Self build has been identified as an important source of housing supply within the Plan Area and this approach would not provide the policy framework to facilitate its delivery	In supporting the delivery of self-built the approach of the preferred options would have beneficial effects in terms of improving housing choice and offer within the Plan Area. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	→ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. The provision of opportunities for self build housing development is likely to improve housing choice and offer within the Plan Area thereby supporting the creation of inclusive and socio-economically mixed communities.	← This option could have a potentially indirect adverse effect on this objective. This approach would not give discrete policy support that could result in lower delivery of self build properties. The could result in a less choice and offer which could affect the inclusivity and socio-economic mix of communities.	In supporting the delivery of self-build and improving housing choice and offer within the Plan Area the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of improving inclusivity and balance of local communities. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	→ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. Improving the range of offer and choice of housing is likely to create mixed and balanced communities that will have a positive effect on the functionality and sustainability of local labour markets.	← This option could have a potentially indirect adverse effect on this objective. This approach could result in lower delivery of self build properties that could reduce the range of offer and choice of housing available. This could have a negative effect on the functionality and sustainability of local labour markets.	In supporting the delivery of self-build and improving housing choice and offer within the Plan Area the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of encouraging the creation of balanced communities that would have a positive effect on local labour markets in terms of retention and recruitment. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects	
Direct Effects	Indirect Effects

Housing Standards

Sustainability Objectives	Housing Standards			Comments			
	Preferred Option	Alternative Option					
	Include a housing standards policy	Do not include a housing standards policy	Introduce threshold of 30 Dwellings for M4(2)				
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	none
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home		This option would support the achievement of this objective. This policy would ensure that future housing stock would be adaptable and provide decent homes for all accommodation needs.		This option could have a potential adverse effect as it would reduce the choice and offer of housing suitable for people with disabilities.		This option would support the achievement of this objective. However the beneficial effect is not as great as the policy requirement would not apply to all dwellings.	In supporting the delivery of housing which complies with the enhanced build regulations the preferred option would improve housing choice and offer within the Plan Area and as such would have beneficial effects on providing decent homes for all the community. The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective.		No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people		This option would indirectly support the achievement of this objective. The requirement ensures new housing is designed to accommodate residents that have mobility and health issues with out the need to move or require retrospective adaptation work.		This option could have a indirect adverse effect as it could reduce the number and type of housing suitable for people with disabilities.		This option would indirectly support the achievement of this objective. The requirement ensures new housing is designed to accommodate residents that have mobility and health issues with out the need to move or require retrospective adaptation work.	In supporting the delivery of housing which complies with the enhanced build regulations the preferred option would improve housing choice and offer within the Plan Area and as such would have beneficial effects on the health and wellbeing of individuals. The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history		This option would indirectly support the achievement of this objective. The requirement ensures new housing is designed to accommodate residents that have mobility and health issues. This allows residents with health issues and disabilities to stay in their communities.		This option could have a indirect adverse effect as it could reduce the number and type of housing suitable for people with disabilities and could lead to residents with specialist housing needs becoming marginalised.		This option would indirectly support the achievement of this objective. The requirement ensures new housing is designed to accommodate residents that have mobility and health issues. This allows residents with health issues and disabilities to stay in their communities.	In supporting the delivery of housing which complies with the enhanced build regulations the preferred option would improve housing choice and offer within the Plan Area and as such would have beneficial effects on building inclusive communities. The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	none
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	none
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC2: To improve access to jobs		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
↑↑	major beneficial	→→	major beneficial
↑	minor beneficial	→	minor beneficial
↓	minor adverse	←	minor adverse
↓↓	major adverse	←←	major adverse
↕	essential beneficial or adverse		
↔	no significant effect		

Housing Delivery SA6

Sustainability Objectives	Housing Delivery		Comments		
	Preferred Option	Alternative Option			
	Include Housing Delivery Policy	Not to include Housing Delivery Policy			
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	none
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This policy would ensure a supply housing land throughout the plan period to deliver identified housing need.	←	This option could have a potential indirect adverse effect. Without a supporting policy specifically identifying and safeguarding supply provision of a decent home could be undermined	Identifying and safeguarding a housing land supply will support providing everyone with a decent home. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
	major beneficial		major beneficial
	minor beneficial		minor beneficial
	major adverse		major adverse
	minor adverse		minor adverse
	potentially beneficial or adverse		
	no significant effect		

SA7 Supporting Housing Delivery

Sustainability Objectives	Supporting Housing Development				Comments
	Preferred Option		Alternative Option		
	Include Supporting Housing Development Policy		Not to include Supporting Housing Development Policy		
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces		This option has the potential to support this objective as it help ensure development is well related to a settlement close to existing services and manage development in the open countryside.		With out clear policy guidance there is potential for development to located away from settlements and services and impact on the countryside.	Overall the preferred options has minor beneficial effect on this objective. It aims to ensure development is well related to settlements and therefore close to services and help protect open countryside from development. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home		This option would support the achievement of this objective. In the event that allocated sites failed to come forward this approach aims to manage the release of additional housing land and ensure that supply remained plan led as far as possible. It also seeks to ensure that the sites supported are capable of supplementing the five year land supply.		Minor indirect adverse effect with respect to this SA objective. Mainly relating to ensuring new housing sites are capable of delivery within 5 years to supplement supply.	Both options perform similarly against this SA objective in that neither prevents the consenting of additional housing sites in the case of a lack of a 5 year land supply. The preferred option does perform marginally better in that it aims to support deliverable sites which will help support this objective. <b>The preferred option and alternative option perform equally strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity		This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would help contain and manage development close to settlement boundaries and avoid piecemeal development in the countryside and potential impact on biodiversity.		With out clear policy guidance there is potential that development is less easy to manage which could result in development in areas which could impact on biodiversity.	Both options perform similarly against this SA objective in that neither prevents the consenting of additional housing sites in the case of a lack of a 5 year land supply. The preferred option does perform marginally better in that it aims to manage which sites come forward which will help support this objective. <b>The preferred option and alternative option perform equally strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations		This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would help contain and manage development close to defined settlement boundaries and avoid piecemeal development in the countryside and greater impact on landscape quality		With out clear policy guidance there is potential that development is less easy to manage which could result in development in areas which could impact on landscape quality	Both options perform similarly against this SA objective in that neither prevents the consenting of additional housing sites in the case of a lack of a 5 year land supply. The preferred option does perform marginally better in that it aims to manage which sites come forward which will help support this objective. <b>The preferred option and alternative option perform equally strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC2: To improve access to jobs		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
	major beneficial		major beneficial
	minor beneficial		minor beneficial
	major adverse		major adverse
	minor adverse		minor adverse
	Potentially beneficial or adverse		
	No significant effect		

SA 30 Gypsy and Travellers

Sustainability Objectives	Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople				Comments
	Preferred Option		Alternative Option		
	Include Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Policy		Not to include Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Policy		
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home		This option would support this objective. Providing and safeguarding suitable sites for the travelling and showperson communities addresses a particular housing need and supports the provision of a decent home for everyone.		Minor adverse effect with respect to this SA objective. Failure to identify sites would result in the housing need of this community would not be addressed as the existing housing stock cannot cater for this need.	In supporting the delivery of sites for Traveller and Showperson accommodation the preferred option would ensure the housing need of this community is met and thereby provide a decent home for everyone. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history		This option would support this objective. Providing and safeguarding suitable sites for the travelling and showperson communities addresses a particular housing need and supports the building of inclusive communities		This option would not ensure the delivery and safeguarding of sites for this community and as such their accommodation needs would not be met. Resulting in a less inclusive community.	In supporting the delivery of sites for Traveller and Showperson accommodation the preferred option would ensure the housing need of this community is met and thereby deliver a more inclusive community. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC2: To improve access to jobs		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects		SA32 Tourism Development	
Direct Effects	Indirect Effects	Direct Effects	Indirect Effects

Sustainability Objectives	Tourism Development				Comments		
	Preferred Option	Alternative Options					
	Include a policy to support tourism and leisure development that directs development to Principal, Key and Local Service Centres but allows appropriate proposals elsewhere subject to environmental criteria	AO1 Do not include a policy to support tourism and leisure development	AO2 Include a policy to support tourism and leisure development that directs development to Principal, Key and Local Service Centres only.				
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↑	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↓	↔	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	In supporting tourism and leisure development in both urban and rural areas the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of increasing access to cultural, recreational and leisure facilities. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	→	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. In supporting developments that would facilitate and promote wider access to tourism and leisure facilities, local communities would have greater exposure to heritage and cultural resources, which could have a knock-on effect on knowledge and skills.	←	↔	↔	This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach would improve access to cultural and leisure facilities, which could have a knock-on effect on knowledge and skills in urban areas but not rural, so it would have mixed effects.	In supporting tourism and leisure development in both urban and rural areas the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of exposure to heritage and cultural resources, which could have a knock-on effect on knowledge and skills. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	→	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. In supporting developments that would facilitate and promote wider access to tourism and leisure facilities, this could improve the lifestyles and overall quality-of-life for local residents.	←	↔	↔	This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach would improve access to cultural and leisure facilities, which could improve the lifestyles and overall quality-of-life for local residents in urban areas but not rural, so it would have mixed effects.	In supporting tourism and leisure development in both urban and rural areas the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of improving the lifestyles and overall quality-of-life for local residents. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	→	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. In supporting developments that would facilitate and promote wider access to tourism and leisure facilities, local communities would have greater exposure to heritage and cultural resources, which could have a knock-on effect on knowledge and skills.	←	↔	↔	This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach would improve access to cultural and leisure facilities, which could have a knock-on effect on knowledge and skills in urban areas but not rural, so it would have mixed effects.	In supporting tourism and leisure development in both urban and rural areas the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of exposure to heritage and cultural resources, which could have a knock-on effect on knowledge and skills. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↓	This option would have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would give policy support to tourism and leisure development in rural areas that would increase the potential for adverse impacts on biodiversity assets to be incurred.	↓	↑	↑	This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for tourism and leisure development only in more urban locations, which would minimise the risk of adverse impacts on biodiversity assets.	In supporting development tourism and leisure development in rural areas the approach of the preferred option could increase the potential for adverse effects on biodiversity. <b>The alternative option AO2 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↓	This option would have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would give policy support to tourism and leisure development in rural areas that would increase the potential for adverse impacts on landscape to be incurred.	↓	↑	↑	This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for tourism and leisure development only in more urban locations, which would minimise the risk of adverse impacts on landscape character.	In supporting development tourism and leisure development in rural areas the approach of the preferred option could increase the potential for adverse effects on landscape character. <b>The alternative option AO2 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔	This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach provides policy support for tourism and leisure development, which could have either a positive or negative effect on the quality of the built environment depending on the quality of the design.	↔	↔	↔	This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach provides policy support for tourism and leisure development, which could have either a positive or negative effect on the quality of the built environment depending on the quality of the design.	All three options would enable tourism and leisure development which could have either a positive or negative effect on the quality of the built environment depending on the quality of the design. <b>All the options perform equally in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↓	This option would have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would give policy support to tourism and leisure development in rural areas who access would be dependent on the private car. This could increase levels of traffic leading to an increase in emission and reduction in local air quality.	↓	↑	↑	This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for tourism and leisure development only in more urban locations, which would reduce reliance on the private car and enable access via more sustainable forms of transport. This could minimise levels of traffic thereby minimising emissions and reductions in local air quality.	In supporting development tourism and leisure development in rural areas the approach of the preferred option could increase the potential for adverse effects on air quality. <b>The alternative option AO2 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↓	This option would have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would give policy support to tourism and leisure development in rural areas that would increase the potential for adverse impacts on water quality and resources to be incurred.	↓	↑	↑	This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for tourism and leisure development only in more urban locations, which would minimise the risk of adverse impacts on water quality and resources.	In supporting development tourism and leisure development in rural areas the approach of the preferred option could increase the potential for adverse effects on water quality. <b>The alternative option AO2 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↓	This option would have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would give policy support to tourism and leisure development in rural areas that would increase the potential for development on and the loss of greenfield land.	↓	↑	↑	This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for tourism and leisure development only in more urban locations, which would minimise the risk of development on and the loss of greenfield land.	In supporting development tourism and leisure development in rural areas the approach of the preferred option could increase the potential for development on, and the loss of, greenfield land. <b>The alternative option AO2 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	↑↑	This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for tourism and leisure development, which could generate new employment opportunities in both urban and rural areas.	↑	↔	↔	This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach would encourage cultural and leisure development in urban areas but not rural. Employment opportunities would be concentrated in more urban areas, so it would have mixed effects.	In supporting tourism and leisure development in both urban and rural areas the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of generating new employment opportunities in both urban and rural areas. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↑↑	This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for tourism and leisure development, which could generate new employment opportunities in both urban and rural areas.	↑	↔	↔	This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach would encourage cultural and leisure development in urban areas but not rural. Investment would be concentrated in more urban areas, so it would have mixed effects.	In supporting tourism and leisure development in both urban and rural areas the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of increasing access to jobs in both urban and rural areas. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↑↑	This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for tourism and leisure development, which would create the necessary conditions to encourage private sector investment into both urban and rural areas.	↑	↔	↔	This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach would encourage cultural and leisure development in urban areas but not rural. Employment opportunities would be concentrated in more urban areas, so it would have mixed effects.	In supporting tourism and leisure development in both urban and rural areas the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of encouraging private sector investment into urban and rural areas. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
	major beneficial		major beneficial
	minor beneficial		minor beneficial
	minor adverse		minor adverse
	major adverse		major adverse
	potentially beneficial or adverse		
	no significant effect		

SA 33 Broadband

Sustainability Objectives	Broadband				Comments
	Preferred Option		Alternative Option		
	Include a Broadband Policy		Not to include a Broadband Policy		
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces		This option would support this SA objective. By ensuring broadband is provided in developments this allows residents and businesses to access services, especially important in rural communities		This option would have a minor adverse effect on this objective. Broadband provides an alternative way to access services. Without a policy new development may not provide access to this infrastructure.	Overall the preferred options has minor beneficial effect on this objective. It aims to ensure development provide access to services by providing suitable broadband connection <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people		This option would support this SA objective. Access to the internet allows communities and individuals to keep in touch creating a sense of well being.		This option could have an indirect adverse effect. Reduced access to broadband could leave people more isolated and affect their sense of well being.	Overall the preferred options has minor beneficial effect on this objective. By ensuring access to broadband it enables individuals and communities to socialise and thus improve sense of well being. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities		This option supports this SA objective. Access to broadband for businesses will enable them to remain competitive and explore new markets. This will help safeguard existing jobs and create new opportunities		This option could have an adverse effect on this objective. Access to broadband is an essential service to maintain and grow businesses. Without a policy that ensures premises can provide this infrastructure it could result in businesses being less competitive or restrict expansion plans	Overall the preferred option has minor beneficial effect on this objective. By ensuring access to broadband it enables businesses to remain competitive, support small business start ups, especially in rural areas. Therefore retaining and creating new employment opportunities. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy		This option supports this SA objective. Access to broadband will help the development of new business sectors, especially in the digital economy.		This option could have an adverse effect on this objective. Poor access to broadband could limit the opportunities to diversify the local economy in to different sectors and remain competitive in existing ones.	Overall the preferred option has minor beneficial effect on this objective. By ensuring access to broadband it enables businesses to remain competitive, support small business start ups, especially in rural areas, and open up new sectors especially in the digital economy. Therefore diversifying and strengthening the local economy. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
	major beneficial		major beneficial
	minor beneficial		minor beneficial
	minor adverse		minor adverse
	major adverse		major adverse
	potentially beneficial or adverse or significant effect		

SA34 Employment Sites

Sustainability Objectives	Employment Sites				Comments
	Preferred Option		Alternative Option		
	Identify an Employment Land Supply		Not to identify an Employment Land Supply		
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities		This option supports this SA objective. Identifying a sufficient land supply ensures that a range of sites are kept available to meet the needs of business. This will support expansion plans and new business opportunities across the plan area. This will help safeguard existing jobs and create new employment.		This option could have an adverse effect on this objective. A lack of an identified land supply could pose an obstacle to retaining existing and future employment opportunities.	Overall the preferred option has minor beneficial effect on this objective. Identifying a sufficient land supply to meet the needs of business will support expansion plans and new business opportunities. This will help safeguard existing jobs and create new employment. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy		This option supports this SA objective. Safeguarding key employment sites ensures that a range of sites are kept available to meet the needs of business. This will support expansion plans and new business opportunities across the plan area. This will contribute to diversifying and strengthening the local economy.		This option could have an adverse effect on this objective. A lack of an identified land supply and premises could pose an obstacle to diversifying and strengthening the local economy.	Overall the preferred option has minor beneficial effect on this objective. Identifying a range of sites and premises sufficient to meet the needs of business will support expansion plans and new business opportunities. This will contribute to diversifying and strengthening the local economy. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
	major beneficial		major beneficial
	minor beneficial		minor beneficial
	major adverse		major adverse
	minor adverse		minor adverse
	potentially beneficial or adverse		
	no significant effect		

SA 35 Safeguarding Employment sites

Sustainability Objectives	Safeguarding Employment Sites				Comments
	Preferred Option		Alternative Option		
	Safeguard Employment sites	Not to safeguard Employment Sites	Safeguard Employment sites	Not to safeguard Employment Sites	
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities		This option supports this SA objective. Identifying a range of sites sufficient to meet the needs of business will support expansion plans and new business opportunities. This will help safeguard existing jobs and create new employment.		This option could have an adverse effect on this objective. A lack of an identified land supply could pose an obstacle to retaining existing and future employment opportunities.	Overall the preferred option has minor beneficial effect on this objective. Identifying a range of sites sufficient to meet the needs of business will support expansion plans and new business opportunities. This will help safeguard existing jobs and create new employment. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy		This option supports this SA objective. Identifying a range of sites sufficient to meet the needs of business will support expansion plans and new business opportunities. This will contribute to diversifying and strengthening the local economy.		This option could have an adverse effect on this objective. A lack of an identified land supply and premises could pose an obstacle to diversifying and strengthening the local economy.	Overall the preferred option has minor beneficial effect on this objective. Identifying a range of sites and premises sufficient to meet the needs of business will support expansion plans and new business opportunities. This will contribute to diversifying and strengthening the local economy. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA</b>

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
	major beneficial		major beneficial
	minor beneficial		minor beneficial
	major adverse		major adverse
	minor adverse		minor adverse
	potentially beneficial or adverse		
	no significant effect		

SA 46 Retail and Town Centres.

Sustainability Objectives	Retail and Town Centres				Comments
	Preferred Option		Alternative Option		
	Identify a retail land supply		Not identify a retail land supply		
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces		diversifying the retail offer in the town centre will improve access to services.		the market may still provide retail development in accessible locations but the allocation of sites makes this more certain	the preferred option has a major beneficial effect on this objective. Identifying a retail land supply close to the town centre accessible by public transport. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to</b>
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities		This option supports this SA objective. Identifying a range of sites sufficient to meet the needs of retail business will support the creation of new job opportunities		This option could have an adverse effect on this objective. A lack of an identified land supply could deter investment and impact on job creation.	the preferred option has a major beneficial effect on this objective. Identifying a retail land supply to meet the needs of retail businesses and thus support job creation. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs		No significant effects between this option and this objective		No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy		This option supports this SA objective. Identifying retail sites sufficient to meet the needs of business close to the town centre will contribute to diversifying and strengthening the local economy.		This option could have an adverse effect on this objective. A lack of an identified land supply and premises could pose an obstacle to diversifying and strengthening the local economy.	the preferred option has a major beneficial effect on this objective. Identifying a retail land supply to meet the needs of retail businesses and thus helps to diversify and strengthen the local economy. <b>The preferred option performs most strongly in relation to this SA objective</b>

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
↑↑	major beneficial	→→	major beneficial
↑	minor beneficial	→	minor beneficial
↓	minor adverse	←	minor adverse
↓↓	major adverse	←←	major adverse
↕	potentially beneficial or adverse		
↔	no significant effect		

Sustainability Objectives	Lower Derwent Valley		Comments
	Preferred Option	Alternative Option	
	Include a dedicated policy for the Derwent Valley	Do not include a dedicated policy for the Derwent Valley	
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↓ This option may have adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides policy support for the development of the Derwent Valley for Main Town Centre Uses, which could erode its function as amenity and	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. This would maintain its current function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities.	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option would have adverse effects as a result of the loss of open space. <b>The alternative option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	← This option may have potential indirect adverse effects in relation to this objective. The development of the Derwent Valley for Main Town Centre Uses could potentially reduce the opportunity for local communities to enjoy green open space.	→ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. This would maintain its current function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities.	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option could have potential indirect adverse effects as the loss of open space may reduce the opportunities for recreational activity. <b>The alternative option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	← This option may have potential indirect adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would improve pedestrian and cycling access/connections within the Lower Derwent Valley, which could promote greater level of recreational activity by local communities	→ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. This would maintain its current function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities.	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option could have potential indirect adverse effects as the loss of open space may reduce the opportunities for recreational activity. <b>The alternative option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides policy support for the development of the Derwent Valley for Main Town Centre Uses, which could have an adverse impact on biodiversity assets within the area	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. This would maintain its current function thereby minimising the risk of adverse impacts on biodiversity assets.	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option may have adverse effects on biodiversity assets within the site. <b>The alternative option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides policy support for the development of the Derwent Valley for Main Town Centre Uses, which could have an adverse impact on landscape character within the area	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. This would maintain its current function thereby minimising the risk of adverse impacts on landscape character	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option may have adverse effects on landscape quality. <b>The alternative option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↕ This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach provides policy support for the development of the Derwent Valley for Main Town Centre Uses, which could have either a positive or negative effect on the quality of the built environment depending on the quality of the design.	↕ This option may have a beneficial or adverse effect in relation to this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. This could have either a positive or negative effect on the quality of the built environment depending on the future management of the area as recreation and amenity	Both options have the potential to have beneficial or adverse effects. In the case of the preferred options this is dependent on the quality of future development. With regards to the alternative option, this is dependent on how the Derwent Valley is managed and maintained as open space. <b>All the options perform equally in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides policy support for the development of the Derwent Valley for Main Town Centre Uses, which could have an adverse impact on the quality of local watercourses	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would maintain the current function of the Derwent Valley thereby minimising the risk of adverse impacts on the quality of local watercourses	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option may have adverse effects on water quality. <b>The alternative option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↓ This option would have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides policy support for the development of the Derwent Valley for Main Town Centre Uses, which would erode its function as an area of open/amenity space	↑ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. This would maintain its current function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities.	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option would have adverse effects as a result of the loss of open space. <b>The alternative option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	↑↑ This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for the development of parts of the Lower Derwent Valley for main town centre uses, which would create new employment	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. Opportunities for inward investment into the area and	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of creating new employment opportunities. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↑↑ This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for the development of parts of the Lower Derwent Valley for main town centre uses, which would provide new employment opportunities in an area where unemployment is above the	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. The opportunity to create new employment opportunities in an area where unemployment is above the	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of creating new job opportunities in an area where unemployment is above the national average. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↑↑ This option would have beneficial effects in relation to this objective. This approach provides support for the development of parts of the Lower Derwent Valley for main town centre uses, which would create the necessary conditions to encourage private sector investment into the area	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects in relation to this objective. This approach would not provide policy support for Main Town Centre Use development in the Derwent Valley. This would not create the necessary conditions and impetus to encourage private sector investment into the area	In supporting development in the Derwent Valley the approach of the preferred option would have beneficial effects in terms of creating the necessary conditions and impetus to encourage private sector investment into the area. <b>The preferred option performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>

Key: Significance of Effects		SA50 Areas suitable for wind energy development	
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
↑↑	Major beneficial	→→	Major beneficial
↑	Minor beneficial	→	Minor beneficial
↓	Minor adverse	←	Minor adverse
↓↓	Major adverse	←←	Major adverse
⇄	Potentially beneficial or adverse		
↔	No significant effect		

Sustainability Objectives	Areas suitable for wind energy development				Comments		
	Preferred Option		Alternative Options				
	Identify the whole district (excluding the National Park) as suitable for wind energy development except for sensitive areas (AONB, Hadrian's Wall World Heritage Site and Buffer zone and adjacent to Lake District National Park) where only small scale schemes will be supported.	AO1 Do not identify an area suitable for wind energy development	AO2 Identify the whole of the District (excluding the National Park) as an area suitable for wind energy development				
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective.	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↓	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. Wind energy development has the potential to impact on biodiversity during both construction and operation however this approach would impact on the most sensitive areas would be moderated	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. Not designating an area would result in applications for wind energy development potentially being refused, which would limit the degree of impact on landscape character.	↓	This option is likely to prejudice the achievement of this objective. Wind energy development has the potential to impact on biodiversity during both construction and operation and this approach would extend this risk across the whole of the Plan Area.	In supporting wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could increase the potential for adverse effects on biodiversity. <b>The alternative option AO1 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↓	This option is likely to prejudice the achievement of this objective. Whilst wind energy development has the potential to impact on landscape quality this approach would moderate that impacts on the most sensitive areas.	↑↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. Not designating an area would result in applications for wind energy development potentially being refused, which would significantly reduce the impact on landscape character.	↓↓	This option is likely to prejudice the achievement of this objective. Wind energy development has the potential to impact on landscape quality and this approach would extend this risk across the whole of the Plan Area including the most sensitive landscape areas.	In supporting but moderating the potential impacts of wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could contribute to the achievement of this objective. <b>However the alternative option AO1 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↑	This option could potentially contribute to the achievement of this objective. This approach would provide the assessment framework to consider schemes that could potentially contribute to renewable energy generation and reduce the dependency on fossil fuels.	↓↓	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. This approach would fail to provide the assessment framework to consider schemes that could potentially contribute to renewable energy generation and reduce the dependency on fossil fuels.	↑↑	This option could potentially contribute to the achievement of this objective. This approach would provide the assessment framework to consider schemes that could potentially contribute to renewable energy generation and reduce the dependency on fossil fuels.	In supporting wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could lead to an improvement in local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. <b>The preferred option and alternative option AO1 perform equally strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↑	This option could potentially contribute to the achievement of this objective. Wind energy development would result in the loss of greenfield land however this approach would impact on the most sensitive areas would be moderated	↑↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. Not designating an area would result in applications for wind energy development potentially being refused, which would limit the loss of greenfield land	↓	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. Wind energy development would result in the loss of greenfield land and this approach would extend this risk across the whole of the Plan Area including the most sensitive landscape areas.	In supporting but moderating the potential impacts of wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could contribute to the achievement of this objective. <b>However the alternative option AO1 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↑	This option could potentially contribute to the achievement of this objective. This approach would provide the assessment framework to consider schemes that could potentially contribute to reduce the dependency on fossil fuels.	↓	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. This approach would fail to provide the assessment framework to consider schemes that could potentially reduce the dependency on fossil fuels.	↑↑	This option could potentially contribute to the achievement of this objective. This approach would provide the assessment framework to consider schemes that could potentially contribute to renewable energy generation and reduce the dependency on fossil fuels.	In supporting wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could lead to an improvement in local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. <b>The preferred option and alternative option AO1 perform equally strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
↑↑	major beneficial	→→	major beneficial
↑	minor beneficial	→	minor beneficial
↓	minor adverse	←	minor adverse
↓↓	major adverse	←←	major adverse
↕	Potentially beneficial or adverse		
↔	No significant effect		

Sustainability Objectives	Green Gaps		Comments
	Preferred Option	Alternative Option	
	Include a policy to protect green gaps	Do not include a green gaps policy	
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss would reduce access to green open space and/or the wider countryside by local communities	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities is maintained. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective</b>
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	→ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining their function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities	← This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. This could potentially reduce the opportunity for local communities to enjoy green open space	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities is maintained. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective</b>
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	→ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining their function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities	← This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. This could promote greater level of recreational activity by local communities	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities is maintained. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective</b>
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby safeguarding important biodiversity assets within the site	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss could have adverse impacts on biodiversity interests	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that biodiversity assets within these areas are protected. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective.</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby safeguarding the visual amenity of the site and wider landscape character	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss could have adverse impacts on the visual amenity of the site and wider landscape character	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that the landscape character and wider value of these areas is protected. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby preventing the development on and the loss of greenfield land	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for the development on and the loss of greenfield land	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that the potential for development on, and the loss of, greenfield land is minimised. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective.</b>
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
↑↑	major beneficial	→→	major beneficial
↑	minor beneficial	→	minor beneficial
↓	minor adverse	←	minor adverse
↓↓	major adverse	←←	major adverse
↕	Potentially beneficial or adverse		
↔	No significant effect		

Sustainability Objectives	Amenity Greenspace		Comments
	Preferred Option	Alternative Option	
	Include a policy to protect green gaps	Do not include a green gaps policy	
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss would reduce access to green open space and/or the wider countryside by local communities	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities is maintained. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective.</b>
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective.	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	→ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining their function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities	← This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. This could potentially reduce the opportunity for local communities to enjoy green open space	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities is maintained. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective.</b>
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	→ This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining their function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities	← This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. This could promote greater level of recreational activity by local communities	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities is maintained. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective.</b>
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby safeguarding important biodiversity assets within the site	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss could have adverse impacts on biodiversity interests	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that biodiversity assets within these areas are protected. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective.</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby safeguarding the visual amenity of the site and wider landscape character	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss could have adverse impacts on the visual amenity of the site and wider landscape character	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that the landscape character and wider value of these areas is protected. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective.</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↑ This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby preventing the development on and the loss of greenfield land	↓ This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for the development on and the loss of greenfield land	In safeguarding important green gaps the approach of the preferred option would ensure that the potential for development on, and the loss of, greenfield land is minimised. <b>The preferred option would be the most sustainable in terms of this objective.</b>
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔ No significant effects between this option and this objective	None

Key: Significance of Effects			
Direct Effects		Indirect Effects	
↑↑	Major beneficial	→→	Major beneficial
↑	Minor beneficial	→	Minor beneficial
↓	Minor adverse	←	Minor adverse
↓↓	Major adverse	←←	Major adverse
⇄	Potentially beneficial or adverse		
↔	No significant effect		

Sustainability Objectives	Green Infrastructure				Comments		
	Preferred Option	Alternative Options					
	Include a Policy that identifies a Green Infrastructure Network but with areas of highest ecological and/or landscape significance subject to more stringent criteria	AO1 Do not include a Policy that identifies a Green Infrastructure Network	AO2 Include a Policy that identifies a Green Infrastructure Network				
SP1: To increase the level of participation in democratic processes	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP2: To improve access to services, facilities, the countryside and open spaces	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities	↓	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss would reduce access to green open space and/or the wider countryside by local communities.	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining accessibility to green open space and/or wider countryside for local communities	None
SP3: To provide everyone with a decent home	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP4: To improve the level of skills, education and training	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective.	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
SP5: To improve the health and sense of well-being of people	→	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining their function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities.	←	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. This could potentially reduce the opportunity for local communities to enjoy green open space.	→	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby maintaining their function as an area of amenity and recreational open space resource for local communities.	None
SP6: To create vibrant, active, inclusive and open minded communities with a strong sense of local history	→	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps	←	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps	→	This option offers potential indirect benefits regarding this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps	None
EN1: To protect and enhance biodiversity	↑↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby safeguarding important biodiversity assets within the site	↓	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss could have adverse impacts on biodiversity interests	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby safeguarding important biodiversity assets within the site	In supporting wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could increase the potential for adverse effects on biodiversity. <b>The alternative option AO1 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN2: To preserve, enhance and manage landscape quality and character for future generations	↑↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby safeguarding the visual amenity of the site and wider landscape character	↓	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for their development. Their loss could have adverse impacts on the visual amenity of the site and wider landscape character	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby safeguarding the visual amenity of the site and wider landscape character	In supporting but moderating the potential impacts of wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could contribute to the achievement of this objective. <b>However the alternative option AO1 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EN3: To improve the quality of the built environment	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR1: To improve local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	In supporting wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could lead to an improvement in local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. <b>The preferred option and alternative option AO1 perform equally strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR2: To improve water quality and water resources	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
NR3: To restore and protect land and soil	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby preventing the development on and the loss of greenfield land	↓	This option may have potential adverse effects on this objective. The approach would not give protection to green gaps resulting in greater potential for the development on and the loss of greenfield land	↑	This option would support the achievement of this objective. This approach would give protection to green gaps thereby preventing the development on and the loss of greenfield land	In supporting but moderating the potential impacts of wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could contribute to the achievement of this objective. <b>However the alternative option AO1 performs more strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
NR4: To manage mineral resources sustainably and minimise waste	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	In supporting wind energy development the approach of the preferred option could lead to an improvement in local air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. <b>The preferred option and alternative option AO1 perform equally strongly in relation to this SA objective.</b>
EC1: To retain existing jobs and create new employment opportunities	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC2: To improve access to jobs	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None
EC3: To diversify and strengthen the local economy	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	↔	No significant effects between this option and this objective	None