

Allerdale Local Plan (Part 2) Sites Allocations

Hearing Session – Day 3 (PM)

Thursday 16 May 2019 at 14:00

Venue: Washington Central Hotel Workington CA14 3AY

Agenda

Please note:

- Participants are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the Hearing Statements (and any additional evidence) produced by the Council and other parties in respect of the matters addressed at this session. These are available on the Examination website.
- Reference to questions refer to those posed by the Inspector in the Matters, Issues and Questions already circulated and on the Examination website, unless otherwise stated.
- Participants, including the Council, named in [square brackets] may be invited to open the item concerned, but this is optional.

1. Inspector's Introduction

2. Matter 3: Housing

Issue 3: Whether the proposed approach towards housing is justified, effective and consistent with national policy and the spatial strategy in the ALPP1?

Issue 3c: Housing Allocations – Site Specific Issues:

Land at Fell View, Silloth (Policy SA19)

- Q40. Is the proposed allocation (20 units) justified and appropriate in terms of the likely impacts of the development? [Allerdale BC]
- Q41. Has the impact of the proposed housing allocation on the buried archaeological assets on this site been adequately assessed? Is the allocation consistent with the Framework in this regard and other policies in the ALPP1 which seek to protect the historic environment?
[Allerdale BC]
- Q42. Is the suggested Main Modification MM18 justified? Is this necessary in the interests of soundness? [Allerdale BC]

Land to the north of Meadowlands, Broughton Moor (Policy SA23)

- Q43. Is the proposed allocation (25 units) justified and appropriate in terms of the likely impacts of the development? [Allerdale BC]

Q44. Has the impact of the proposed housing allocation on the nearby wetland area designated as a County Wildlife Site been adequately assessed? Is the allocation consistent with the Framework in this regard and other policies in the ALPP1 which seeks to protect the natural environment? [Allerdale BC]

Land at Rose Farm, Broughton (Great and Little Broughton) (Policy SA24)

Q45. Is the proposed allocation (55 units) justified and appropriate in terms of the likely impacts of the development? [Broughton Parish Council]

Q46. Has the impact of the proposed housing allocation on the farmhouse and attached traditional barns (non-designated heritage assets) on this site been adequately assessed? Is the allocation consistent with the Framework in this regard and other policies in the ALPP1 which seek to protect the historic environment? [Broughton Parish Council]

Q47. Is the suggested Main Modification MM20 justified? Is this necessary in the interests of soundness? [Allerdale BC]

Birch Hill Lane, Kirkbride (Policy SA27)

Q48. Is the proposed allocation (6 units) justified and appropriate in terms of the likely impacts of the development? [Allerdale BC]

Q49. Has the impact of the proposed housing allocation on the nearby Grade II* listed Lilac Cottage and Scheduled Ancient Monument: Kirkbride Roman Fort been adequately assessed? Is the allocation consistent with the Framework in this regard and other policies in the ALPP1 which seek to protect the historic environment? [Allerdale BC]

Q50. Are the suggested Main Modifications MM23, MM24 and MM25 justified? Are they necessary in the interests of soundness? [Allerdale BC]

Additional Question:

AQ1. Are any additional Main Modifications proposed in relation to Issue 3c? [Allerdale BC]

3. Close

Participants

Issues 3c:

Allerdale Borough Council

22 Broughton Parish Council (Sue Hannah)

122 Allerdale Borough Council (Cllr N. Cockburn)

466 Mr M. Grout (Malcolm Grout)

485 Cumbria County Council (Philip Megon and specialists)