

Allerdale Local Plan (Part 2) Sites Allocations

Hearing Session – Day 1 (PM)

Tuesday 14 May 2019 at 14:00

Venue: Washington Central Hotel Workington CA14 3AY

Agenda

Please note:

- Participants are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the Hearing Statements (and any additional evidence) produced by the Council and other parties in respect of the matters addressed at this session. These are available on the Examination website.
- Reference to questions refer to those posed by the Inspector in the Matters, Issues and Questions already circulated and on the Examination website, unless otherwise stated.
- Participants, including the Council, named in [square brackets] may be invited to open the item concerned, but this is optional.

1. Inspector's Introduction

2. Matter 2: General Approach to Development and Settlement Boundaries

Issue 2a: Is the general approach to development in the Local Plan justified, effective and consistent with national policy?

Q13. Is the Council's approach to the development and safeguarding identified sites on the Policies Maps in Policy SA1 justified? Is the presumption in favour of renewing lapsed consents for major committed developments consistent with national policy? [Allerdale BC]

Q14. Are the suggested Main Modifications MM2, MM3, MM5 and MM7 justified? Are they necessary in the interests of soundness? [Allerdale BC]

Additional Question:

AQ1. Are any additional Main Modifications proposed in relation to Issue 2a? [Allerdale BC]

Issue 2b: Whether the proposed approach towards settlement boundaries is justified, effective and consistent with national policy in enabling the delivery of sustainable development and the ALPP1?

Q15. Is the methodology for the review and definition of the settlement boundaries set out in the Settlement Boundary Review Topic Paper (September 2018) (Ref SD7) soundly based? Are the criteria under Principles 1-4 in the Topic Paper logical and justified in defining the built

limited of settlements and the land to be included within and excluded from settlement boundaries? Should any other principles or criteria have been applied in defining settlement boundaries? [Port Derwent Properties]

Q16. Are the settlement boundaries proposed in the ALPP2 logical in defining the built limits of the individual settlements and justified in relation to the principles and criteria set out in the Settlement Boundary Review Topic Paper (Ref SD7)? Have they been positively prepared and are they consistent with national policy in enabling the delivery of sustainable development and the ALPP1? [Thomas Armstrong (Construction) Ltd]

Additional Question:

AQ2. Are any additional Main Modifications proposed in relation to Issue 2b? [Allerdale BC]

Participants

Issues 2a:

Allerdale Borough Council

478 Storey Homes (Paul Fenton)

504 M and S MARRS (Michael and Sylvia MARRS)

507 Reiver Homes (Rachel Lightfoot, PFK Planning)

521 Persimmon Homes (Rachel Graham)

Issue 2b:

497 Mr P. Tait (Peter Tait, Matthew Bell, Edwin Thompson)

504 M and S MARRS (Michael and Sylvia MARRS)

509 Mr Ken Perkins (Ken Perkins)

512 Port Derwent Properties (Peter Shannon, WYG)

521 Persimmon Homes (Rachel Graham)

525 Thomas Armstrong (Construction) Ltd (Jeremy Williams, ID Planning)